Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

A Study on Rewards and Recognition at Doctor Reddy's Laboratories

Dr. D. RANADHEER REDDY

Professor & HOD of MBA Department, St.Martin's Engineering College, Dhulapally, Secunderabad-500100

G. SAI RAMA KRISHNA Reg. No: 20K81E0017

Student of 2nd MBA, Department of MBA, St.Martin's Engineering College, Dhulapally, Secunderabad-500100

ABSTRACT

The general objective of the research was to study the impact of rewards and recognition on employee motivation. And the specific objectives were to identify the most effective means of rewards and recognition, to study the behavioral differences between appreciated and non-appreciated employees, to understand the extent to which motivation enhances employee's performance, to identify if the motivation has an effect on individual and organizational growth and to study if rewards and recognition results in emotional conflicts between employees. The descriptive research design was adopted for this study. Various employees from the companies were the respondents. It is evident from the study that a variety of factors influence employee motivation and satisfaction. It was also significant to discover that there is a direct and positive relationship between rewards and recognition and job satisfaction and motivation. Hence, if rewards and recognition offered to employees were to be altered, then there would be a corresponding change in work motivation and satisfaction. The direct translation of this could be that the better the rewards and recognition, the higher the levels of motivation and satisfaction, and possibly therefore, the greater the levels of performance and productivity. In the event of major inconsistencies, especially for emotional conflicts between performers and non performers, the organization should make an effort to reassess and rectify this situation. In the event that the organization does not reassess this situation, it could have a resultant negative impact on job performance and productivity as well as on the retention of minorities. In accordance with Maslow's

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

hierarchy of needs, the lower level needs such as salary and benefits must first be met before the higher level needs, which impacts motivation can be satisfied. The research study has shown that managers can employ different strategies to motivate employees, but that it is important that managers keep in mind that different strategies would have a different motivational impact on different people. To get optimum results from a motivational strategy, the manager has to realize and understand issues, which requires recognition of each individual's unique values, beliefs and practices.

INTRODUCTION

Reward system is an important tool that management can use to channel employee motivation in desired ways. In other words, reward systems seek to attract people to join the organization to keep them coming to work, and motivate them to perform to high levels. The reward system consists of all organization components – including people processes rules and decision making activities involved in the allocate of compensation and benefits to employees in exchange for their contribution to the organization. In order for an organization to meet its obligations to share holders, employees and society, its top management must develop a relationship between the organization and employees that will fulfill the continually changing needs of both parties. At a minimum the organization expects employees to perform reliably the tasks assigned to them and at the standards set for them, and to follow the rules that have been established to govern the workplace. Management often expects more: that employees take initiative, supervise themselves, continue to learn new skills, and be responsive to business needs. At a minimum, employees expect their organization to provide fair pay, safe working conditions, and fair treatment. Like management, employees often expect more, depending on the strength of their needs for security, status, involvement, challenge, power, and responsibility. Just how ambitious the expectations of each party are, vary from organization to organization. For organizations to address these expectations an understanding of employee motivation is required (Beer, Specter, Lawrence, Mills, & Walton, 1984).

NEED OF THE STUDY

Increasingly, organizations are realizing that they have to establish an equitable balance between the employee's contribution to the organization and the

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022

ISSN: 2277-7067

organization's contribution to the employee. Establishing this balance is one of

the main reasons to reward employees. Organizations that follow a strategic

approach to creating this balance focus on the three main components of a

reward system, which includes, compensation, benefits and recognition.

Studies that have been conducted on the topic indicates that the most common

problem in organizations today is that they miss the important component of

Reward, which is the low-cost, high-return ingredient to a well-balanced reward

system. A key focus of recognition is to make employees feel appreciated and

valued. Research has proven that employees who get recognized tend to have

higher self-esteem, more confidence, more willingness to take on new

challenges and more eagerness to be innovative.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

• To measure employee satisfaction with Reward system programs.

• To know the employees personal intention regarding rewards and recognition

• To appraise the nature of reward system

• To appraise the nature of employee motivation

• To determine the effect of reward system on the motivational level of employees

• To determine the effect of reward system on the motivational level of employees of

selected investment companies in DR REDDYS.

• The study shall project the importance of reward system on the motivational level of

employees and shall serve as a source of information for managers, human resource

experts, etc.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research design

In this study "A case study on the factors influencing the employees attitude" the design

chosen for the study is descriptive in nature. The reason for using this design was to acquire

knowledge in a detailed manner. This study basically aims at portraying the characteristics

of individuals and firms in a detailed manner. To find out the root cause analysis for the

Journal of Kavikulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit University, Ramtek

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022

ISSN: 2277-7067

employee attitude and development in creating knowledge skills for the employees.

Sources of data collection

Primary Data

For the purpose of present study, the primary data were collected from respondents by

contacting them personally through administering to the employees of DR REDDYS.

Primary data was collected through well-designed and approved questionnaire.

Secondary Data

Secondary data is the information, which is attained indirectly. It's not attained directly

from the employees. The secondary source of information was collected through internet.

The study utilized the records, journals given by DR REDDYS.

LIMITATIONS

• During the research methodology process/time, it was difficult to collect information

because of the busy schedule for their work.

• Due to heavy workforce, it was really difficult for me to collect information from each

and every worker.

There was scope of biased response. Employee could be biased regarding opinions

towards certain factors while answering the questionnaire.

• The data used for the study relies on what organizations have shared. It is assumed that

companies have provided accurate data for the purpose of the study.

• Data & inferences are based only on the information collected from the companies that

have participated.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Bassett-Jones and Lloyd, (2005) tried to test the validity of Herzberg's study after fifty

years of its emergence, found it to be relevant even though the organization's context had

changed from rigid hierarchies to flatter organizations with increased autonomy at work to

individuals. The authors however contested the placement of recognition as a motivator with

the argument that with changes in the work environment, recognition at work determined

the climate at work and as such was a major hygiene factor than a motivator. That is, through

recognition managers could set the organizational climate which otherwise would serve as a

Journal of Kavikulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit University, Ramtek

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

dissatisfied. It was found in the study that recognition from line managers was not an

important factor in motivating desired behavior; it was the employees.

Alderfer's ERG theory, (1972) also points out the role of recognition in context of an

individual's need for relatedness.

Ambrose and Kulik, (1999) assert that after thirty years of inception, there is a decline in the

research on expectancy theory, which indicates that the basic concerns regarding the theory

have been examined and that the theory has matured.

Vroom, an individual's work motivation is a process governed by the 'valence' (preference for

an outcome) and 'expectancy' (belief that an act will be followed by the outcome). Vroom

defines motivation as a force which is the algebraic sum of the products of valences and

expectancies. People tend to maximize their best interests by choosing those acts which have

the strongest positive or the weakest negative force. An implication of this theory could be

that managers can impact an employee's motivation to work by enhancing the value of

reward that the employee receives. The present study thus assumed that enhancing the value

of recognition for employees will lead to better motivation for performance.

UCEA, (2013) Performance-related pay can relate to a broad range of reward practices

which distribute variable amounts of money to employees, based on individual, team and

organizational performance.

WERS, (2011) Performance-related pay is the most popular pay approach in the private

sector in the UK and long established.

Gerhart&Rynes, (2003) Motivating staff to increase performance (although only evidenced

in roles with easily measurable output).

Frey and Jegen, (1999) presented empirical evidences from a wide variety of areas of the

economy and society and concluded that external interventions in the form of monetary

incentives or punishments undermine intrinsic motivation. The study rejects any criticism of

Deci's theory and presents compelling evidence for the existence of crowding out and

crowding in effects.

Crinoand White, (1982) examined the effect of feedback on intrinsic motivation to perform a

task. The study supported the work done by suggesting a negative impact of feedback on

motivation to do an interesting task. Authors suggested that feedback may have a positive

Journal of Kavikulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit University, Ramtek

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022

ISSN: 2277-7067

effect only up to a point; increasing frequency of feedback shifts the receiver's attention from

the task itself to the feedback provided.

DeckopandCirka, (2000) investigated the influence of merit pay on intrinsic motivation on

employees of a non-profit organization. Results indicated a decline in intrinsic motivation of

employees after the introduction of a merit pay plan.

Cameron and Pierce, (1994) did a meta-analysis of ninety-six experimental researches on

intrinsic motivation and suggested that rewards do not undermine intrinsic motivation. This

paper provoked many debates among researchers (See Ryan and Deci1996) and is perhaps

the most cited article in the debate on role of reward as motivator.

Leigh, (2013) found new teachers more open to performance pay than experienced

Podgursky and Springer's, (2007) overarching lesson was that trial and error was required

to formulate right set of performance incentives as the literature is not robust enough to say

which design works.

Morgan, (1984) reviewed literature in the field of classroom learning and intrinsic motivation

and concluded that undermining and enhancing effect of rewards depends on circumstances

and that the effect does not necessarily lead to decrement in performance. It was suggested

that recipient's perspective of reward was important in determining the effect of reward and

that the reward size and type did not matter. The study disapproves of 'blanket condemnation

of concrete rewards' as proposed by the over justification theory.

Latham & Locke, (1979). The underpinning theory which informs objective setting is goal

theory. Goals are used to: direct attention to priorities; stimulate effort and, encourage

people to utilize their skills and knowledge to increase likelihood of success.

Ordonez et al. (2009) caution that negative effects have been largely overlooked, citing

narrow focus neglecting non-goal areas, a rise in unethical behavior, distorted risk

preferences and reduced intrinsic motivation, as seen also with measures. They advise

careful application, consideration of the noted side effects and close supervision.

Franco Santos et al, (2014) Agency theory places more emphasis on extrinsic motivation.

This approach has been criticized.

Doolin, (2002) found that employees were likely to resist control practices that challenged

their professional autonomy.

Journal of Kavikulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit University, Ramtek

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

Saari& Judge, (2004). Employee attitude' describes the actions of employees towards their

objectives and goals.

through more effort, greater participation in work and organizational commitment.

Furham, (2009) stated that reward mechanism 19 plays an important role in motivating

employees to exert considerable effort to meet organizational goals, and to maintain

membership in both private and public sectors.

Allen and Helms, (2001) tried to identify the determinants of organizational performance

with reward practices as the independent variable. Four reward practices were found to be

statistically significant predictors of organization performance: ESOPs individual based

performance system, regular expression of appreciation by managers/leaders to employees

and customer satisfaction monitoring tied to rewards.

Arnold S. Tannenbaum and Walter J. Kuleck, Jr. (1978) did a study of 1750 employees

across five countries to test the effect of discrepancy between perceived and preferred rewards

on employee's job satisfaction. It was asserted that an individual's job satisfaction decreases

with an increase in the discrepancy between the reward (authority and influence or

opportunities at work) that one perceives to have received and the reward that one expects or

wants. It was also found that reward which exceeded an individual's expectations was equally

dissatisfying as the reward which did not match expectation. Authors conclude that those who

get what they want are not the ones who are the most satisfied. Rather individuals who

expect a lot and get fairly what they expected are the most satisfied. "In fact persons who

experience some discrepancy between perceived and preferred reward while having a very

high level of preference may be more satisfied than those who experience no discrepancy at

all under conditions of a low level of preference.

FINDINGS

• Employees know about the company is having a recognition and reward policy

program, but are not much aware about various monetary and non- monetary schemes.

As 35% of employees disagreed that they are unaware about various monetary and

non- monetary schemes of Dr.Reddy's laboratories.

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

• Dr.Reddy's laboratories are providing most of its rewards in the form of monetary compensation as 60% of employees agree.

- 40% of employees chosen reward with certificates as type of reward system.
- The best part of receiving rewards is, the recognition- receiving the praise to which 40% of employees agree.
- 40% of employees agree that they are aware about the basic criteria on which rewards are based.
- 60% of employees are satisfied with the existing **recognition** and reward policy.
- 25% strongly disagreed, that rewards are not given as per criteria.
- 50% of employees would like to prefer experimental gift of their choice as reward.
- 45% of employees would like to receive rewards publicly in front of peers.
- Good performances are appreciated and recognized by top management as 40% of employees agree.
- 40% of employees agree that deserved people are rewarded at Dr.Reddy's laboratories.
- Favoritism is not prevailing in the company while giving away of rewards as 30% of employees strongly disagreed regarding favoritism.
- Performance linked monetary rewards are reasonable in the company representing 35% where performances are examined and rewarded accordingly.
- 40% of employees rated company as good at providing promotions and reward policy.

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

CONCLUSION

Rewards and Recognition are equally important when trying to promote performance and morale

amongst employees. The above methods can be used to motivate employees. Since all the

methods may not be applicable to the same organization, the organizations should make sure that

they choose the best rewards that suit the organization.

The most effective ways to motivate employees to achieve the desired goals of the organization

include creating an environment with strong, respectful and supportive relationships between the

organization's managers/supervisors and employees and a focus on genuine expressions of

appreciation for specific employee achievements, service milestones and a day -to- day

acknowledgement of performance excellence. In a nutshell, a positive employee reward and

recognition strategy can be summed up by the following: nothing is better than a sincere "thank

you for a job well done.

SUGGESTIONS

• For effective implementation of rewards system organizations should determine who will be

eligible for each type of reward.

• Ensure that the new system has the support and ownership at all relevant levels, particularly

among people managers who have to spearhead the implementation.

• Establish the reliability and the validity of the performance data that is gathered to determine

who gets rewarded and how much.

• All the standards and instructions for their rewards program are lucidly documented with

clearly defined objectives and evaluation parameters and published through intranet,

dashboard newsletters, etc.

• Develop standardized quality tools to measure cross -functional team and manager

performance.

• Organizations must understand that recognition should not be limited to achievements only.

Thus, they have devised mechanisms and provide employees with a platform to praise

efforts.

• In addition to rewarding the permanent employees, organizations also reward its contractors

and vendors.

Journal of Kavikulaguru Kalidas Sanskrit University, Ramtek

Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067

• Organizations must ensure that the rewards are individualized. They understand that these rewards will be valued by the employees most when they cater to their personal needs/styles.

 Organizations also involve family members and significant others at the time of recognition to add personal touch and provide the family or partners a sense of belongingness.

BIBILOGRAPHY

AUTHOR	YEAR	TITLE	EDITION	PUBLISHER	PAGE NO.
C. B. Mamori	2003	Personnel Management	23	Himalaya Publishing House	250-255
Aswathappa K	2007	Organization Behaviour	7	Himalaya Publishing House	291-294
Azharkazmi	2015	Strategic Management and Business Policy	4	MC Graw Hill education	375-377

WEB SITES:

- www.drreddy's laboratories.com
- www.drreddy's laboratoriesonline.com

Shodhsamhita: Journal of Fundamental & Comparative Research Vol. VIII, No. 5: 2022 ISSN: 2277-7067